

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/516,083	Applicant(s) GUICHARD ET AL.
	Examiner ANDREW D. KOSAR	Art Unit 1654

All Participants:**Status of Application:** *after response to 1st action*(1) ANDREW D. KOSAR.

(3) _____.

(2) H. James Voeller.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 December 2009**Time:** 14:00**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

potential 112

Claims discussed:

all, in general

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew D Kosar/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 12/2, the examiner proposed amendments to the claims that would place the application in condition for allowance, including rejoinder of the currently withdrawn method claims (with amendment). Applicant's representative requested consulting with the applicant prior to accepting/denying the proposal. On 12/15, the examiner followed up with Applicant's representative, and was instructed by Robert Madsen that Applicant had proposed amendments for consideration. On 12/30, the examiner discussed the proposed amendment with Mr. Voeller. Concern was raised by the examiner regarding the newly method to treating Chagas' disease, however upon further review of the specification, support was found, thus it was deemed acceptable. As presented in the examiner's amendment, the claims are in allowable form. Agreement was reached.